

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ید ر

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,031	03/05/2002	Giorgio Rizzoni	OSU1159-148B	7585
8698	7590 12/08/2003	EXAMINER		NER .
STANDLEY LAW GROUP LLP 495 METRO PLACE SOUTH			KWON, JOHN	
SUITE 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DUBLIN, OI			3747	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •			In luc			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/092,031	RIZZONI ET AL.			
Οπισε Αστ	ion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		John T. Kwon	3747			
The MAILING D	AIE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE (- Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is spectorally in the set of the period for reply within the set of the period for reply set of the period for reply is period for reply set of the period f	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. d above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply iffied above, the maximum statutory period w or extended period for reply will, by statute, fice later than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH: 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely files	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to d	ommunication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FI	NAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 13-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 13-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	·					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachment(s)						
	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/092,031

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what "a frequency domain method" is.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the "Admitted Prior Art as described in the applicant specification (page 3, lines 1-10)".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Admitted Prior Art as described in the applicant specification" in view of Williams (US 6,223,120). The Admitted Prior Art (APA) teaches the use of a crankshaft position, velocity and

Application/Control Number: 10/092,031

Art Unit: 3747

Page 3

the acceleration of the internal combustion engine to estimate the torque is old and well known in the art (page 3, lines 1-10 in the applicant's specification). However, the APA does not show the use of a particular method to estimate model function. Williams shows that the use of a nonlinear function to indicate the torque in the internal combustion engine is old and well known in the art. Since the prior art references art from the field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Williams would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the APA. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of the APA by non-linear function as taught by Williams.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John T. Kwon whose telephone number is (703) 308-1046. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7766.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747